

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450 www.tupto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,973	03/06/2000	CHIHIRO SAKAI	NSG-180US	6982
23122 7	590 06/06/2003			
RATNERPRI	ESTIA		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980		BOLDEN, ELIZABE		IZABETH A
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1755	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ï				46			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/486,973	SAKAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elizabeth A. Bolden	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	pril 2003 .					
2a)⊠	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 9-11 is/are pending in the a	application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) 4 and 9 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 10 is/are rejected.						
7)🖾	Claim(s) 3 and 11 is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) 🗌 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :					
11) 🗌 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner	•			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
_	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
) The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/486,973

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama et al., U.S. Patent 6,306,786.

Koyama et al. teach a soda lime silica glass which is batched with Na₂SO₄, Li₂SO₄, MgSO₄, CaSO₄, SrSO₄, and BaSO₄. See column 3 lines 59-61. Koyama et al. also teach that Li₂O is added in the glass from 2-15 weight percent. See column 4, lines 29-37. The reference teaches that Fe₂O₃, CoO, NiO, MnO, ZnO, Y₂O₃, La₂O₃, and CeO₂ are added to the glass for coloring. See column 5, lines 21-24.

Koyama et al. differs from the instant invention by not teaching examples sufficiently specific to anticipate the claim limitations of claims 1 and 10. Nor does the reference teach the use of the Li₂O or Li₂SO₄ additives for the suppression of the formation of nickel sulfide.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have batched a soda lime silica glass with Li₂O or Li₂SO₄ as suggested by Koyama et al. because the resultant batch would have the additive content of lithium sulfate or lithium oxide.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,973

Art Unit: 1755

Since the glass composition of Koyama et al. is obvious over claims 1 and 10, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the composition of Koyama et al. to exhibit the same nickel sulfide suppression properties as instantly claimed.

Response to Arguments

Applicants traverse the rejection over Koyama et al. on the grounds that the reference is not prior art in view of the submitted translated claims. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a certified translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15. Although, Applicant has submitted a non-certified English partial translations of the claims of JP 10-191,221, that alone does not perfect the right to foreign priority application.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4 and 9 are allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/486,973

Art Unit: 1755

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Bolden whose telephone number is 703-305-0124. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am to 6:00 pm with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

EAB

June 3, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER